



# Influence of Natural Farming Practices in Different Crops at West Central Table Land Zone of Odisha

R. D. Behera <sup>a+++\*</sup>, S. Biswal <sup>b++</sup>, S. Priyadarshini <sup>c++</sup>,  
Ipsita Das <sup>d</sup>, P. J. Mishra <sup>e#</sup>, N. C. Barik <sup>ft</sup>, S. Sahu <sup>g‡</sup>,  
P. Mohanty <sup>f^</sup> and D. Jena <sup>h##</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science, KVK, Bargarh, OUAT, Odisha, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agricultural Engineering, KVK, Bargarh, OUAT, Odisha, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Agronomy, KVK, Ganjam-2, OUAT, Odisha, India.

<sup>d</sup> Department of Soil Science, College of Agriculture, OUAT, Chiplima, Odisha- 768025, India.

<sup>e</sup> Extension Education, OUAT, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India.

<sup>f</sup> KVK, Bargarh, OUAT, Odisha, India.

<sup>g</sup> Department of Home Science, KVK, Bargarh, OUAT, Odisha, India.

<sup>h</sup> Department of Seed Science, KVK, Bargarh, OUAT, Odisha, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajsspn/2025/v11i1478>

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/131035>

Original Research Article

Received: 06/12/2024

Accepted: 09/02/2025

Published: 13/02/2025

++ SMS;

# Dean;

† Senior Scientist & Head;

‡ Scientist;

^ Fram Manager;

## Program Assistant;

\*Corresponding author: Email: rahuldevbehera65@gmail.com;

**Cite as:** Behera, R. D., S. Biswal, S. Priyadarshini, Ipsita Das, P. J. Mishra, N. C. Barik, S. Sahu, P. Mohanty, and D. Jena. 2025. "Influence of Natural Farming Practices in Different Crops at West Central Table Land Zone of Odisha". *Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 11 (1):258-62. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajsspn/2025/v11i1478>.

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted in the farmers field to study the effect of natural farming practice on different crops under the west central table land zone of Odisha. Under this experiment the Farmers practice (FP) was taken as application of soil test-based fertilizer where as recommended practice was taken as natural farming practice (NFP). From the above experiment it is concluded that the application of NFP significantly increase the crop yield in Brinjal, Toria, Ragi but non-significant in Cowpea, Field pea and pumpkin over FP where as in average net return significantly increases over all the crops except Field pea. The highest yield was shown in Pumpkin (158 q/ha) followed by other crops. The cost of cultivation was more in cowpea (Rs. 62,740) followed by pumpkin (Rs. 61,350), Brinjal (Rs. 55,396), Field pea (Rs. 43,044), Toria (Rs. 31,734) and Ragi (Rs. 28754). Irrespective of the crops the gross income of the Pumpkin was more (Rs. 88750/-) followed by brinjal (Rs. 69404), Cowpea (Rs. 34372), Toria (Rs. 19,130), Ragi (Rs. 10906) and Field pea (Rs. 5188).

*Keywords: Natural farming; jeevamrut; beejamrut; agniastra & neemastra etc.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Natural Farming is a traditional farming method which does not use any chemicals. It is called agro-ecology based diversified farming system which integrates crops, trees, animals and functioning biodiversity. In India, Natural farming is promoted as Bharatiya Prakritik Krishi Paddhati Program (BPKP) under the Ministry of Agriculture. PKVY was drawn on centrally sponsored scheme. BPKP promotes indigenous knowledge systems to cut down on external purchased inputs. It is primarily off the appetite biomass recycling with concentrated forests on eco-mulching of entire farm cow dung excreta soup herbs and other main cultivation soil aeration processes and without the use of any agrochemicals (Reddy, 2010). Geographic evidence has demonstrated that the state of Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Himachal Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Gujarat and Kerala have embraced the BPKP program (Kumar et al., 2023). Natural farming increases production, conserves water, enhances soil and farmland ecosystem (Kumar et al., 2019). It is regarded as cost effective farming techniques which have a potential of increasing employment avenues and rural development. It has been estimated that about two point five million farmers in India have already adopted some techniques of regenerative agriculture. In the next five years this figure is expected to cover twenty lakh hectares (Duddigan et al., 2023). In any form of natural farming of which 12 lakh ha are under BPKP. Natural farming also known as “the Fukuoka Method” or even “the natural way of farming” or “do-nothing farming” to others, is in a layman’s understanding an environmentally friendly agricultural practice. Fukuoka, a

Japanese farmer and novelist first coined the term in his book entitled ‘The One Straw Revolution’ published in 1975. Fukuoka saw the activity of agriculture as both using the earth for the production of food and an art and religion whose end is the story of mankind, Therefore There is no single correct way of doing this and more so stating that the satisfying of human’s needs through farming cannot be done without farming and farm s (Floyd and Zubevich, 2010; Paul, 1990; Laishram et al., 2022). Farm workers should carefully study their surroundings to understand culture (Colin, 1996). No external nutrient supply is required in natural farming since it is self-supporting mimics the elements in the ecosystem (Morse and Stocking, 1995). It was said but in any case allowed protecting the environment clean, biodiversity, avoiding soil degradation and hydrological pollution laboratory work could expand the scope of scientific activities in these new fields and accepted ideas (Hilmi, 2018; Reddy, 2010, Paul, 1990).

## 2. METHODOLOGY

The field experiment was conducted in the farmers field to study the “Influence of natural farming practices in different crops at west central table land zone of Odisha”. The site of experiment were Baragada, Bara,Cheptibahal, Sulsulia,Bijepur and Patrapalli village of Bargarh district. The test crops taken as Brinjal (Var. Charpalia), Toria (Var. Kujisorisa), Cowpea (Var. Kasikanchan), Field pea (var. Pantpea-273), Ragi (var. Bhairabi) and Pumpkin (Var. Arka Suryomukhi) etc. Under this experiment the Farmers practice (FP) was taken as application of soil test-based fertilizer where as

**Table 1. Fertilizer doses under farmers practice (FP)**

SI no	Name of the crop	Fertilizer dose (N : P : K) kg/ha
1	Brinjal	150 : 80 : 125
2	Toria	75 : 30 : 38
3	Cowpea	31 : 50 : 31
4	Field pea	38 : 50 : 63
5	Ragi	75 : 30 : 38
6	Pumpkin	94 : 75 : 94

**Table 2. Natural farming practices (NFP)**

SI no	Natural Farming Practice	Doses of Application
1	Beejamrut	Seed treatment @ 10 ml/kg seed before sowing
2	Ghanajeevamrut	Soil application @ 2t/ha during sowing/planting
3	Jeevamrut	Foliar application @ 10 ml/lit of water from 25 DAS to 75 DAS(Peak vegetative stage) in 10 days interval (total 6 sprays)
4	Neemastra	Foliar application @ 20 ml/lit water at 15 days interval
5	Agniastra	Foliar application @ 20 ml/lit water at 15 days interval

recommended practice was taken as natural farming practice (NFP). The details of FP & NFP was given Table 1 (Kumar et al. 2023, 2020).

The FP and NFP application was same for all six crops. The experiment under each crop was replicated five farmers field. During the experiment *Neemastra* was applied for controlling the sucking pest like aphids, whitefly and *Agniastra* was applied for controlling the insect pests like shoot, pod & stem borer. There is no chemical fertilizer application in the NFP. At the harvest time yield (q/ha) was recorded for all the crops with net return (Rs./ha).

### 3. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The effect of NFP on yield of different crops has been presented in the Table-3. Irrespective of the

different crops, under NFP higher yield was recorded in Toria and ragi crop whereas lesser yield was recorded in Brinjal, cowpea, Field pea, Pumpkin crops in comparison to the FP. The application of NFP increases 47 per cent yield in Toria, 6.3 per cent in Ragi and decreases 29.3 per cent yield in Brinjal, 12.8 percent in cowpea, 22.4 per cent in Field pea, 13.2 per cent in Pumpkin over FP respectively. Statistically the crop i.e Brinjal, Toria, Ragi etc. significantly and Cowpea, Fieldpea, Pumpkin etc. non-significantly increases the yield.

The effect of NFP on economics of different crops has been presented in the Table-4. The application of NFP gives the average net return Rs. 69404/ha in Brinjal which is 28.4 per cent increase over FP, Rs. 19,130/ha in Toria which is 67.4 per cent increase over FP, Rs. 34,372/ha in

**Table 3. Effect of natural farming practices on yield of different crops**

Crop	Yield in non-demo. Plots	Yield in demo. Plots
Brinjal (Charpalia)	176.6	124.8
P(0.05)	0.0003	
Toria (Kujisorisa)	2.4	4.6
P(0.05)	0.00007	
Cowpea (Kasikanchan)	111.4	97.1
P(0.05)	1.0	
Field pea (Pantpea-273)	15.6	12.1
P(0.05)	1.0	
Ragi (Bhairabi)	7.4	7.9
P(0.05)	0.001	
Pumpkin(Arka Suryomukhi)	182	158
P(0.05)	1.0	

**Table 4. Effect of natural farming practices on Economics of different crops**

Crop	Cost of Cultivation in demo. crops	Cost of cultivation in non-demo plots	Gross income in demo. Plot	Gross income in non-demo. Plot	Net income Demo plot	Net income Non Demo plot
Brinjal (Charpalia)	55396	73830	124800	123606	69404	49650
P(0.05)					0.001	
Toria (Kujisorisa)	31734	19738	50864	25920	19130	6236
P(0.05)					0.001	
Cowpea (Kasikanchan)	62740	80874	97112	100286	34372	19412
P(0.05)					0.00009	
Field pea (Pantpea-273)	43044	51622	48232	62400	5188	10788
P(0.05)					1.0	
Ragi (Bhairabi)	28754	32040	39660	36840	10906	4800
P(0.05)					0.0001	
Pumpkin(Arka Suryomukhi)	61350	81548	150100	127442	88750	45894
P(0.05)					0.00001	

Cowpea which is 43.4 per cent over FP, Rs. 5188/ha in Field pea which is 51.9 per cent less increase over FP, Rs. 10,906/ha in Ragi crop which is 55.9 per cent increase over FP and Rs. 88,750/ha in Pumpkin crop which is 48.2 per cent over FP respectively. Statistically the crop i.e Brinjal, Toria, Cowpea, Ragi, Pumpkin significantly increases the average net return and only Filed pea crop non-significantly increases the average net return.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

From the above experiment it is concluded that the application of NFP significantly increase the crop yield in Brinjal, Toria, Ragi but non-significant in Cowpea, Field pea and Pumpkin over FP where as in average net return significantly increases over all the crops except Field pea. The highest yield was shown in Pumpkin (158 q/ha) followed by other crops. The cost of cultivation was more in cowpea (Rs. 62,740) followed by pumpkin (Rs. 61,350), Brinjal (Rs. 55,396), Field pea (Rs. 43,044), Toria (Rs. 31,734) and Ragi (Rs. 28754). Irrespective of the crops the gross income of the Pumpkin was more (Rs. 88750/-) followed by brinjal (Rs. 69404), Cowpea (Rs. 34372), Toria (Rs. 19,130), Ragi (Rs. 10906) and Field pea (Rs. 5188).

#### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models

(ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Colin, A. M. D. (1996). *The centrality of agriculture: Between humankind and the rest of nature*. McGill-Queen's University Press - MQUP. (ISBN 978-0-7735-6571-5).
- Duddigan, S., Shaw, L. J., Sizmur, T., Gogu, D., Hussain, Z., Jirra, K., Kaliki, H., Sanka, R., Sohail, M., Soma, R., Thallam, V. (2023, April). Natural farming improves crop yield in SE India when compared to conventional or organic systems by enhancing soil quality. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 43(2), 1-5.
- Floyd, J., & Zubevich, K. (2010). Linking foresight and sustainability: An integral approach. *Futures*, 42, 59-68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2009.08.001>
- Hilmi, A. (2018). *Agroecology: Reweaving a new landscape*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. (ISBN 978-3-319-68489-5).

- Kumar, R., Kumar, S., Yashavanth, B. S., & Meena, P. C. (2019, July 1). Natural farming practices in India: Its adoption and impact on crop yield and farmers' income. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 74(3), 420-432.
- Kumar, R., Kumar, S., Yashavanth, B. S., Meena, P. C., Indoria, A. K., Kundu, S., & Manjunath, M. (2020,). Adoption of natural farming and its effect on crop yield and farmers' livelihood in India. *ICAR-National Academy of Agricultural Research Management, Hyderabad, India*, 130.
- Kumar, R., Kumar, S., Yashavanth, B. S., Venu, N., Meena, P. C., Dhandapani, A., & Kumar, A. (2023, March 9). Natural farming practices for chemical-free agriculture: Implications for crop yield and profitability. *Agriculture*, 13(3), 647.
- Laishram, C., Vashishat, R. K., Sharma, S., Rajkumari, B., Mishra, N., Barwal, P., Vaidya, M. K., Sharma, R., Chandel, R. S., Chandel, A., & Gupta, R. K. (2022, May 31). Impact of natural farming cropping system on rural households—Evidence from Solan District of Himachal Pradesh, India. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 6, 878015.
- Morse, S., & Stocking, M. (1995). *People and environment: Development for the future*. Taylor & Francis Group.
- Paul, H. (1990). Agriculture: A fundamental principle. *Journal of Bahá'í Studies*, 3(1), 1-17.
- Reddy, P. (2010). *Sustainable agricultural education: An experiential approach to shifting consciousness and practices*. Prescott College. (ISBN 978-1-124-38302-6).

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2025): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*  
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://pr.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/131035>